

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/944,974	(08/31/2001	Michael J. LaGasse	7784-000116	2219	
27572	7590	09/10/2004		EXAMINER		
HARNESS,	DICKE	Y & PIERCE, P.L	C.	PHAN, HANH		
P.O. BOX 82		S MI 48303		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
BLOOMITE	BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			2633		

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M_
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/944,974	LAGASSE, MICHAEL	_ J.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Hanh Phan	2633	
The MAILING DATE of this communication			
Period for Reply		,	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the reply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			:
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	1 August 2001.		
· _ ·	his action is non-final.		:
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal ma	itters, prosecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			i a
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		;
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			: H
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 6-11, 15-17</u> is/are rejected.			:
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5,12-14,18 and 19</u> is/are objecte	ed to.		:
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	-	:
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		Ī
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	<u>.</u>
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	·
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-	·152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			: :
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum 		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No.	;
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p			age :
application from the International Bur			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		ot received.	· :
			:
Attachment(s)			:
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· — _	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB 	/08) 5) Notice of	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52) :
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/01/2004</u> .	6)		:

Art Unit: 2633

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 7-10, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Prior Art Figure 1 in view of Nomura (US Patent No. 6,731,881).

Regarding claims 1 and 16, the Prior Art Figure 1 teaches a cosite interference rejection system comprising:

Art Unit: 2633

an interference subsystem (i.e., an interference subsystem 22, Prior Art Fig. 1) coupled to a transmit system (i.e., a transmit system 24, Prior Art Fig. 1), the interference subsystem weighting a sampled transmit signal based on a feedback signal such that the weighted signal is out of phase with the sampled transmit signal;

a cancellation subsystem (Prior Art Fig. 1) coupled to the interference subsystem (22) and a receive antenna (i.e., receive antenna 25); and

a feedback loop (26) for providing the feedback signal to the interference subsystem (22) based on the desired receive signal.

The Prior Art Fig. 1 differs from claims 1 and 16 in that it fails to teach the cancellation subsystem is an optical cancellation subsystem. However, Nomura in US Patent No. 6,731,881 teaches the cancellation subsystem is an optical cancellation subsystem (Figs. 3 and 4, col. 6, lines 67 and col. 7, lines 1-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the cancellation subsystem is an optical cancellation subsystem as taught by Nomura in the system of the Prior Art Fig. 1. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since Nomura suggests in column 6, lines 67 and col. 7, lines 1-60 using such the cancellation subsystem is an optical cancellation subsystem have advantage of allowing preventing the deterioration due to interference by canceling the interference components from an optical transmitter.

Regarding claim 7, the Prior Art Figure 1 further teaches power transmitted by the transmit system is coupled to the receive antenna.

Regarding claim 8, the Prior Art Figure 1 further teaches the interference

Art Unit: 2633

subsystem (22) includes: an amplifier for amplifying the sampled transmit signal and an amplitude and phase.

Regarding claims 9 and 10, the Prior Art Figure 1 further teaches the feedback loop includes a coupler for sampling the desired receive signal.

4. Claims 2, 6, 11, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Prior Art Figure 1 in view of Nomura (US Patent No. 6,731,881) and further in view of LaGasse (US Patent No. 5,724,169).

Regarding claims 2, 11 and 17, the combination of Prior Art Figure 1 and Nomura differs from claims 2, 11 and 17 in that it fails to teach the optical cancellation subsystem includes an optical source for generating the optical signal; a modulation network coupled to the optical source, the receive antenna, and a demodulation system coupled to the modulation network, the demodulation system demodulating the phase modulated optical signal. However, LaGasse teaches an optical source (11) for generating the optical signal; a modulation network (phase modulator 15) coupled to the optical source (11), the receive antenna (17), and a demodulation system (25) coupled to the modulation network, the demodulation system demodulating the phase modulated optical signal (Fig. 1, col. 5, lines 40-67 and col. 6, lines 1-52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the cancellation subsystem includes an optical source for generating the optical signal; a modulation network coupled to the optical source, the receive antenna, and a demodulation system coupled to the modulation network, the

Art Unit: 2633

demodulation system demodulating the phase modulated optical signal as taught by LaGasse in the system of the combination of the Prior Art Fig. 1 and Nomura. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since LaGasse suggests in column 5, lines 40-67 and col. 6, lines 1-52 that using the optical cancellation subsystem includes an optical source for generating the optical signal; a modulation network coupled to the optical source, the receive antenna, and a demodulation system coupled to the modulation network, the demodulation system demodulating the phase modulated optical signal have advantage of allowing conveying signals from one remote site to another site and, more particularly, to fiber optic links for conveying signals from one remote site to the another site.

Regarding claims 6 and 15, the combination of Prior Art Figure 1, Nomura and LaGasse teaches the optical source is a laser (Fig. 1 of LaGasse).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3-5, 12-14, 18 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Phan whose telephone number is (571)272-3035.

Art Unit: 2633

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached on (571)272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-4700.

Hanh Phan

09/01/2004